

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 MS. SUGHRUE: We're going to get started since
3 it's five after nine so, my name is Karen Sughrue. I am
4 with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or FERC, for
5 short. I am the Project Coordinator for the relicensing of
6 the Barker's Mill Hydroelectric Project.

7 [Slide presentation] This is our agenda for this
8 morning. We're just going to have some brief introductions.
9 I'll go through a couple housekeeping items. We'll have an
10 overview of the project given by Lewis Loon.. We'll talk
11 about the purpose of scoping. We'll talk about the resource
12 issues that FERC staff has currently identified. And then
13 we'll talk about the schedule for putting out FERC's
14 environmental assessment document. And then we'll go over
15 a couple examples of the types of information that we're
16 requesting from the public and from agencies. And then I'll
17 tell you how to access FERC's online resources for
18 submitting comments, and then we'll close with final
19 comments or questions.

20 Getting back to introductions, we have two
21 additional FERC staff here this morning and I'll go ahead
22 and let them introduce themselves.

23 MR. TUST: Good morning. My name is Michael
24 Tust, I'm a fish biologist with FERC, and I'll be handling
25 water quality and fish related issues.

1 MR. WILCOX: I'm Ken Wilcox, I'm a recreation
2 planner, and I'll be handling recreation aesthetics,
3 cultural issues, and socioeconomics, et cetera.

4 MS. SUGHRUE: I should also mention that I'll be,
5 in addition to coordinating the project, I'll be addressing
6 the terrestrial resource issues. So, onto housekeeping
7 items.

8 We do have a sign in sheet in the back and I've
9 been monitoring the doors and I think everybody signed in
10 this morning; but if you haven't, please do. I would like
11 to mention that this meeting is being recorded by a court
12 reporter. His name is Dan Hawkins. Because of the setup
13 this morning I was told that this is not ideal for our court
14 reporter so it's very important that if someone is going to
15 make a comment, please use the microphone. We have a small
16 crowd as far as giving comments so I think we have ample
17 time. But if you are giving a comment, please provide your
18 name and affiliation first. Just think about that, again,
19 that's for the benefit of our court reporter.

20 For submitting written comments if you choose to
21 do it that way, we do have our mailing address and it's also
22 in our scoping document. But one of the important things is
23 to make sure you identify on that first page that this is,
24 that the comments are to be directed to the Barker's Mill
25 Hydroelectric Project. Please use the project number, 2808.

1 So, a little bit about the Federal Energy
2 Regulatory Commission. We are a federal agency that
3 regulates the interstate transmission of natural gas, oil,
4 and electricity. And we also address licensing and
5 inspecting of private, municipal and state hydroelectric
6 projects. The staff here today is from the Office of Energy
7 Projects and specifically within the Division of Hydropower
8 Licensing. We are all located in our headquarters office in
9 D.C., but we do have five regional offices: in New York,
10 Atlanta, Chicago, Portland, and San Francisco.

11 So, now I'll hand it over to Mr. Loon to give
12 the project overview.

13 MR. LOON: Thank you, Karen. Looks like the
14 crowd hasn't changed much. The only newcomer we have here
15 is Kathy, so this is for you.

16 I think you're quite familiar with the site. So
17 here we have a picture of the Lower Barker Hydro Project,
18 looking upstream at the dam. Right side of the falls you
19 can see the outlet gates used for dewatering the project and
20 the beginning of the bypass reach. Here is an aerial
21 overview of the project as well. Once again has the dam up
22 above, we have the canal. An underground penstock going
23 down to the 1.5 megawatt powerhouse. Down below, here's a
24 better view of the bypass reach.

25 So here we have some studies conducted, a water

1 quality study done in 2015 through 2017. Bypass reach
2 minimum flow study. Nighttime American Eel studies. Macro
3 invertebrate studies. Phase 1 cultural resource studies.
4 Historic structure survey and recreational needs studies.

5 We proposed the following items: to replace the
6 turbine and generator unit. The reason for that is the
7 turbine we have onsite is 30 years old and this actually is
8 a little more efficient. Gets rid of the gear box and its
9 direct-drive unit. Making the maintenance easier and
10 mechanically it's just a much better unit. Increase the
11 bypass minimum flows from the current 20 cfs to 113 cfs. We
12 plan to modify and operate a fishway of the dam from June
13 1st through November 15th for the downstream migration of
14 two wildlife, the River Herring and also adult American
15 Eels. We plan to apply signage, parking, a hand-carry boat
16 launch and foot access to the project bypass reach. Really
17 enhancing and making it safe to get down to the lower reach
18 of the dam. Management of the historic resources and tribal
19 resources if discovered during future ground disturbance
20 activities. Licensing proposal comments and
21 recommendations by the City of Auburn and American
22 Whitewater are currently under consideration for whitewater
23 releases. Developing some sort of flow gauging system. And
24 finally, recreation improvements and maintenance on the
25 project going forward.

1 I believe that's all we have.

2 MS. SUGHRUE: All right. So now we'll talk a
3 little bit about the purpose of scoping.

4 MS. SUGHRUE: Yes, sure.

5 MR. TUST: Lewis, This is Mike Tust, FERC. I
6 just have a question about, like, I wanted to make sure that
7 for your operations, currently, you're run-of-river,
8 correct?

9 MR. LOON: Correct.

10 MR. TUST: And that's going to continue. Could
11 you discuss just a little bit about how you maintain
12 run-of-river operations? We often want to know, kind of
13 what, how you're maintaining that moving forward.

14 MR. LOON: So, currently what we do is we
15 actually have like a head pond level of control that will
16 maintain the head pond level within a 100th of a foot at the
17 crest of the flash boards.. And what we do to maintain the
18 20 Cfs that's required annually is we have a slot cut out in
19 the plunge pool section that will pass that 20 Cfs. We also
20 have leakage that's a little bit more than 5 or 6 Cfs that
21 leaks through the floodgates and we kind of let that go to
22 keep that side of the river wet as well. So we kind of
23 release a little bit more than the 20 Cfs.

24 MR. TUST: Thanks.

25 MR. NASDER: Bob Nasder, American Whitewater.

1 Are there locations where the flows are less than 20 Cfs and
2 if so do you reduce your minimum flows, or do you maintain
3 it?

4 MR. LOON: So, when the flows get below 20 cfs,
5 you know, inflow equals outflow. So, obviously, it's just
6 what the river provides. So if it's not coming at us, of
7 course, the flow will go through the bypass reach or the
8 plunge pool is going to increase over 20 cfs. So, that's
9 what we do.

10 MR. NASDER: Are there any reservoirs upstream
11 that provide flow from an impoundment to allow you to
12 maintain a higher minimum flow or it's straight, all the way
13 up inflowing, natural inflows?

14 MR. LOON: So, the projects that we have upstream
15 up on the Lower Barker are the Upper Barker Hydro Facility
16 and the Mechanic Falls Facility. Both of those facilities
17 are run-of-river facilities so we have no storm release way
18 of maintaining the flow down below.

19 MS. SUGHRUE: Now we'll move on to the purpose of
20 scoping. First of all, it's a legal requirement under
21 FERC's regulations, also the National Environmental Policy
22 Act, or NEPA, and other applicable laws which require
23 evaluation of environmental effects of licensing or
24 re-licensing hydropower projects. The scoping is
25 basically, it's a part of NEPA and it's used to identify

1 issues and concerns to be addressed in NEPA documents, such
2 as our Environmental Assessment that we'll be putting out.
3 Also, with the input from federal, state, and local
4 agencies, Indian tribes, non-governmental organizations and
5 the public. So, we'll be discussing the existing
6 environmental conditions, potential information needs, and
7 resource issues.

8 The resource issues that we've currently
9 identified are aquatic, terrestrial, threatened and
10 endangered species, recreation and aesthetics, cultural, and
11 developmental. And again, those are all listed in your
12 scoping documents, Section 4.2. I'll go ahead and read on
13 down our list here. For aquatic resources we have the
14 effects of continued project operations and maintenance on
15 dissolved oxygen and water temperature in the bypassed reach
16 and downstream of the project tailrace.

17 Effects of continued project operations and
18 maintenance on stream flows, aquatic habitat, and fish
19 resources in the bypassed reach and downstream of the
20 project tailrace. Effects of continued project operations
21 and maintenance on upstream and downstream movements of
22 resident and migratory fish in the Lower Androscoggin
23 River. Effects of continued project operations and
24 maintenance on fish entrainment and corresponding injury and
25 mortality. So, does anyone have any comments on those?

1 We'll move on into terrestrial resources. We
2 will review the effects of continued project operations and
3 maintenance on riparian, littoral and wetland habitats and
4 associated wildlife. And also potential of introduction and
5 spreading of invasive plant species during planned
6 maintenance or facility upgrade activities. If anybody has
7 further input on those, let me know.

8 For threatened and endangered species, we have the
9 effects of continued project operations and maintenance of
10 the project on federally listed and proposed endangered and
11 threatened and candidate species that may occur in the
12 current project area including the Atlantic Salmon, Small
13 Whorl Pogonia, and the Northern Long-eared Bat.

14 For recreation and aesthetics we the effects of
15 the project on day-use facilities and other recreational and
16 aesthetic resources in the project area including
17 flow-related effects and public access to the bypassed reach
18 for fishing and boating.

19 For cultural resources, we have the effects of
20 continued project operations and maintenance on cultural
21 resources and historic properties including Barker's Mill
22 Dam and other potential properties eligible for inclusion in
23 the National Register of Historic Places.

24 For developmental resources we have the effects
25 of proposed environmental measures and associated costs on

1 energy generation and the cost of project power.

2 For cumulative effects, we have identified
3 diadromous fisheries. And for our geographic scope for that
4 we identified from the Little Androscoggin river from the
5 Marcal Hydroelectric Project downstream to the confluence
6 with the mainstem of the Androscoggin River. And then from
7 the mainstem confluence downstream to the Brunswick
8 Hydroelectric Project. I believe it's over 35 miles.

9 MR. LOON: Yes, 36.

10 MS. SUGHRUE: On temporal scope, we will look 30
11 to 50 years into the future, concentrating on the effects of
12 the resource on reasonably foreseeable future actions.
13 Here's our preliminary EA preparation schedule. So here in
14 August we're having our scoping meetings. Then depending on
15 the comments that come in on this scoping document, we may
16 revise it and issue a second scoping document, which will be
17 our scoping document 2. And as I pointed out last night,
18 the comments are due at the end of September; so if we issue
19 a scoping document 2 it might not be until a little bit
20 later to getting into October. So this may shift, the
21 overall schedule may shift a little bit; but this is what
22 we're tentatively planning.

23 And as you can see there's additional periods in
24 the process for comments to come in. Just be aware of that.
25 The types of information that we're looking to gain during

1 the scoping process are listed in section 5 of our scoping
2 document. But just to give you a good examples to think
3 about: Significant environmental issues that should be
4 addressed in the E A. And information in our data to
5 describe past and present conditions of the project area,
6 resource plans, and future proposals in the project area,
7 and additional comprehensive plans. Our comprehensive plan
8 list is currently in section 8 of the scoping document. So
9 if there's any that we've missed or folks believe should be
10 included, please submit those. . And again, this process is
11 just to get local information to us to help us with our
12 environmental assessment.

13 So, for submitting comments or getting access to
14 the project files you can go to FERC's website at
15 www.ferc.gov . And then over here in the bottom left corner
16 that little circle is where our comment resources are.
17 eFiling is basically when you want to submit your comments
18 electronically and if they are on the lengthy side, you
19 probably will want to choose eFiling quick comments as
20 another alternatives, that's just for shorter, brief
21 comments. The reason is there's a character limit in using
22 that location. eSubscription is, I think, a good tool if
23 someone is interested in getting updates on the project.
24 You can subscribe and submit your email, and then any time
25 anything new is filed to the project record, you'll get an

1 email notification, so that way you don't have to keep
2 periodically going back into the pile and checking to see,
3 you know, if something new has been filed; you'll just
4 automatically get that notice. But if you do want to just,
5 if you don't want to subscribe and you just want to look at
6 the project file you should always search eLibrary and you
7 would use that Docket No. P-2808. If you need help or
8 assistance with any of these online resources we have an
9 email address here and a telephone number which is always
10 available on the website as well.

11 And that's pretty much all I had so I will open
12 it up now for any additional comments or questions, concerns
13 that people have, additional information that you want to
14 let us know about.

15 Doesn't seem like we have anything so that's it.
16 The information is there. Please take home a scoping
17 document and submit your comments if you're interested, and
18 I guess with that, that is the end of our meeting this
19 morning. Thank you all for coming and participating.

20 [Whereupon at 9:25 a.m., the scoping meeting
21 concluded.]

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

2

3 This is to certify that the attached proceeding
4 before the FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION in the
5 Matter of:

6 Name of Proceeding: Barker's Mill Hydroelectric
7 Project

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 Docket No.: 2808-017

18 Place: Auburn, Maine

19 Date: Tuesday, August 29, 2017

20 were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
21 transcript thereof for the file of the Federal Energy
22 Regulatory Commission, and is a full correct transcription
23 of the proceedings.

24 Daniel Hawkins

25 Official Reporter

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission



SIGN-IN SHEET

Barker's Mill Hydroelectric Project Scoping Meeting

August 30, 2017 at 9:00 AM

Hilton Garden Inn Auburn Riverwatch

14 Great Falls Plaza, Auburn, Maine 04210

	Name	Affiliation	Making a Public Comment? (Y/N)
1	Michael Tust	FERC	
2	Karen Sughave	FERC	
3	Matt Ayotte	Kruger Energy	
4	Eric Cousens	City of Auburn	
5	Andy Qua	Kleinschmidt	N
6	SHERRI LOON	KRUGER	
7	Lewis LOON	KRUGER	
8	ROBERT NASOR	AMERICAN WATERWORKS	
9	Kathy Howatt	MEDEP	N
10	Rewin Mendih	NPS	N
11	Ken Wilcox	FERC	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Office of Energy Projects

- - - - - x

KEI (Maine) Power Management

(III) LLC Docket No. 2808-017

- - - - - x

BARKER'S MILL HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

Hilton Garden Inn
14 Falls Avenue
Auburn, Maine
Wednesday, August 30, 2017

The morning scoping meeting, pursuant to notice,
convened at 9 a.m, before a Staff Panel:

- KAREN SUGHRUE, Project Coordinator, FERC
- MICHAEL TUST, Fish Biologist, FERC
- KEN WILCOX, FERC

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 MS. SUGHRUE: We're going to get started since
3 it's five after nine so, my name is Karen Sughrue. I am
4 with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or FERC, for
5 short. I am the Project Coordinator for the relicensing of
6 the Barker's Mill Hydroelectric Project.

7 [Slide presentation] This is our agenda for
this
8 morning. We're just going to have some brief
introductions.

9 I'll go through a couple housekeeping items. We'll have an
10 overview of the project given by Lewis Loon.. We'll talk
11 about the purpose of scoping. We'll talk about the
resource
12 issues that FERC staff has currently identified. And then
13 we'll talk about the schedule for putting out FERC's
14 environmental assessment document. And then we'll go over
15 a couple examples of the types of information that we're
16 requesting from the public and from agencies. And then
I'll
17 tell you how to access FERC's online resources for
18 submitting comments, and then we'll close with final
19 comments or questions.

20 Getting back to introductions, we have two
21 additional FERC staff here this morning and I'll go ahead
22 and let them introduce themselves.

23 MR. TUST: Good morning. My name is Michael

24 Trust, I'm a fish biologist with FERC, and I'll be handling
25 water quality and fish related issues.

1 MR. WILCOX: I'm Ken Wilcox, I'm a recreation
2 planner, and I'll be handling recreation aesthetics,
3 cultural issues, and socioeconomics, et cetera.

4 MS. SUGHRUE: I should also mention that I'll
be,
5 in addition to coordinating the project, I'll be addressing
6 the terrestrial resource issues. So, onto housekeeping
7 items.

8 We do have a sign in sheet in the back and I've
9 been monitoring the doors and I think everybody signed in
10 this morning; but if you haven't, please do. I would like
11 to mention that this meeting is being recorded by a court
12 reporter. His name is Dan Hawkins. Because of the setup
13 this morning I was told that this is not ideal for our
court
14 reporter so it's very important that if someone is going to
15 make a comment, please use the microphone. We have a small
16 crowd as far as giving comments so I think we have ample
17 time. But if you are giving a comment, please provide your
18 name and affiliation first. Just think about that, again,
19 that's for the benefit of our court reporter.

20 For submitting written comments if you choose to
21 do it that way, we do have our mailing address and it's
also
22 in our scoping document. But one of the important things
is
23 to make sure you identify on that first page that this is,

24 that the comments are to be directed to the Barker's Mill
25 Hydroelectric Project. Please use the project number,
2808.

1 So, a little bit about the Federal Energy
2 Regulatory Commission. We are a federal agency that
3 regulates the interstate transmission of natural gas, oil,
4 and electricity. And we also address licensing and
5 inspecting of private, municipal and state hydroelectric
6 projects. The staff here today is from the Office of
Energy
7 Projects and specifically within the Division of Hydropower
8 Licensing. We are all located in our headquarters office
in
9 D.C., but we do have five regional offices: in New York,
10 Atlanta, Chicago, Portland, and San Francisco.

11 So, now I'll hand it over to Mr. Loon to give
12 the project overview.

13 MR. LOON: Thank you, Karen. Looks like the
14 crowd hasn't changed much. The only newcomer we have here
15 is Kathy, so this is for you.

16 I think you're quite familiar with the site. So
17 here we have a picture of the Lower Barker Hydro Project,
18 looking upstream at the dam. Right side of the falls you
19 can see the outlet gates used for dewatering the project
and
20 the beginning of the bypass reach. Here is an aerial
21 overview of the project as well. Once again has the dam up
22 above, we have the canal. An underground penstock going
23 down to the 1.5 megawatt powerhouse. Down below, here's a
24 better view of the bypass reach.

25

So here we have some studies conducted, a water

1 quality study done in 2015 through 2017. Bypass reach
2 minimum flow study. Nighttime American Eel studies. Macro
3 invertebrate studies. Phase 1 cultural resource studies.
4 Historic structure survey and recreational needs studies.

5 We proposed the following items: to replace the
6 turbine and generator unit. The reason for that is the
7 turbine we have onsite is 30 years old and this actually is
8 a little more efficient. Gets rid of the gear box and its
9 direct-drive unit. Making the maintenance easier and
10 mechanically it's just a much better unit. Increase the
11 bypass minimum flows from the current 20 cfs to 113 cfs.

We

12 plan to modify and operate a fishway of the dam from June
13 1st through November 15th for the downstream migration of
14 two wildlife, the River Herring and also adult American
15 Eels. We plan to apply signage, parking, a hand-carry boat
16 launch and foot access to the project bypass reach. Really
17 enhancing and making it safe to get down to the lower reach
18 of the dam. Management of the historic resources and

tribal

19 resources if discovered during future ground disturbance
20 activities. Licensing proposal comments and
21 recommendations by the City of Auburn and American
22 Whitewater are currently under consideration for whitewater
23 releases. Developing some sort of flow gauging system.

And

24 finally, recreation improvements and maintenance on the

25 project going forward.

1 I believe that's all we have.

2 MS. SUGHRUE: All right. So now we'll talk a
3 little bit about the purpose of scoping.

4 MS. SUGHRUE: Yes, sure.

5 MR. TUST: Lewis, This is Mike Tust, FERC. I
6 just have a question about, like, I wanted to make sure
that
7 for your operations, currently, you're run-of-river,
8 correct?

9 MR. LOON: Correct.

10 MR. TUST: And that's going to continue. Could
11 you discuss just a little bit about how you maintain
12 run-of-river operations? We often want to know, kind of
13 what, how you're maintaining that moving forward.

14 MR. LOON: So, currently what we do is we
15 actually have like a head pond level of control that will
16 maintain the head pond level within a 100th of a foot at
the
17 crest of the flash boards.. And what we do to maintain the
18 20 Cfs that's required annually is we have a slot cut out
in
19 the plunge pool section that will pass that 20 Cfs. We
also
20 have leakage that's a little bit more than 5 or 6 Cfs that
21 leaks through the floodgates and we kind of let that go to
22 keep that side of the river wet as well. So we kind of
23 release a little bit more than the 20 Cfs.

24 MR. TUST: Thanks.

25 MR. NASDER: Bob Nasder, American Whitewater.

and
1 Are there locations where the flows are less than 20 Cfs
2 if so do you reduce your minimum flows, or do you maintain
3 it?

4 MR. LOON: So, when the flows get below 20 cfs,
5 you know, inflow equals outflow. So, obviously, it's just
6 what the river provides. So if it's not coming at us, of
7 course, the flow will go through the bypass reach or the
8 plunge pool is going to increase over 20 cfs. So, that's
9 what we do.

10 MR. NASDER: Are there any reservoirs upstream
11 that provide flow from an impoundment to allow you to
12 maintain a higher minimum flow or it's straight, all the
way
13 up inflowing, natural inflows?

14 MR. LOON: So, the projects that we have
upstream
15 up on the Lower Barker are the Upper Barker Hydro Facility
16 and the Mechanic Falls Facility. Both of those facilities
17 are run-of-river facilities so we have no storm release way
18 of maintaining the flow down below.

19 MS. SUGHRUE: Now we'll move on to the purpose
of
20 scoping. First of all, it's a legal requirement under
21 FERC's regulations, also the National Environmental Policy
22 Act, or NEPA, and other applicable laws which require
23 evaluation of environmental effects of licensing or

24 re-licensing hydropower projects. The scoping is
25 basically, it's a part of NEPA and it's used to identify

1 issues and concerns to be addressed in NEPA documents, such
2 as our Environmental Assessment that we'll be putting out.
3 Also, with the input from federal, state, and local
4 agencies, Indian tribes, non-governmental organizations and
5 the public. So, we'll be discussing the existing
6 environmental conditions, potential information needs, and
7 resource issues.

8 The resource issues that we've currently
9 identified are aquatic, terrestrial, threatened and
10 endangered species, recreation and aesthetics, cultural,
and
11 developmental. And again, those are all listed in your
12 scoping documents, Section 4.2. I'll go ahead and read on
13 down our list here. For aquatic resources we have the
14 effects of continued project operations and maintenance on
15 dissolved oxygen and water temperature in the bypassed
reach
16 and downstream of the project tailrace.

17 Effects of continued project operations and
18 maintenance on stream flows, aquatic habitat, and fish
19 resources in the bypassed reach and downstream of the
20 project tailrace. Effects of continued project operations
21 and maintenance on upstream and downstream movements of
22 resident and migratory fish in the Lower Androscoggin
23 River. Effects of continued project operations and
24 maintenance on fish entrainment and corresponding injury
and

25 mortality. So, does anyone have any comments on those?

1 We'll move on into terrestrial resources. We
2 will review the effects of continued project operations and
3 maintenance on riparian, littoral and wetland habitats and
4 associated wildlife. And also potential of introduction
and
5 spreading of invasive plant species during planned
6 maintenance or facility upgrade activities. If anybody has
7 further input on those, let me know.

8 For threatened and endangered species, we have
the
9 effects of continued project operations and maintenance of
10 the project on federally listed and proposed endangered and
11 threatened and candidate species that may occur in the
12 current project area including the Atlantic Salmon, Small
13 Whorl Pogonia, and the Northern Long-eared Bat.

14 For recreation and aesthetics we the effects of
and
15 the project on day-use facilities and other recreational
16 aesthetic resources in the project area including
17 flow-related effects and public access to the bypassed
reach
18 for fishing and boating.

19 For cultural resources, we have the effects of
20 continued project operations and maintenance on cultural
21 resources and historic properties including Barker's Mill
22 Dam and other potential properties eligible for inclusion
in
23 the National Register of Historic Places.

24 For developmental resources we have the effects
25 of proposed environmental measures and associated costs on

1 energy generation and the cost of project power.

2 For cumulative effects, we have identified
3 diadromous fisheries. And for our geographic scope for
that
4 we identified from the Little Androscoggin river from the
5 Marcal Hydroelectric Project downstream to the confluence
6 with the mainstem of the Androscoggin River. And then from
7 the mainstem confluence downstream to the Brunswick
8 Hydroelectric Project. I believe it's over 35 miles.

9 MR. LOON: Yes, 36.

10 MS. SUGHRUE: On temporal scope, we will look 30
11 to 50 years into the future, concentrating on the effects
of
12 the resource on reasonably foreseeable future actions.
13 Here's our preliminary EA preparation schedule. So here in
14 August we're having our scoping meetings. Then depending
on
15 the comments that come in on this scoping document, we may
16 revise it and issue a second scoping document, which will
be
17 our scoping document 2. And as I pointed out last night,
18 the comments are due at the end of September; so if we
issue
19 a scoping document 2 it might not be until a little bit
20 later to getting into October. So this may shift, the
21 overall schedule may shift a little bit; but this is what
22 we're tentatively planning.

23 And as you can see there's additional periods in

24 the process for comments to come in. Just be aware of
that.

25 The types of information that we're looking to gain during

1 the scoping process are listed in section 5 of our scoping
2 document. But just to give you a good examples to think
3 about: Significant environmental issues that should be
4 addressed in the E A. And information in our data to
5 describe past and present conditions of the project area,
6 resource plans, and future proposals in the project area,
7 and additional comprehensive plans. Our comprehensive plan
8 list is currently in section 8 of the scoping document. So
9 if there's any that we've missed or folks believe should be
10 included, please submit those. . And again, this process
is
11 just to get local information to us to help us with our
12 environmental assessment.

13 So, for submitting comments or getting access to
14 the project files you can go to FERC's website at
15 www.ferc.gov . And then over here in the bottom left
corner
16 that little circle is where our comment resources are.
17 eFiling is basically when you want to submit your comments
18 electronically and if they are on the lengthy side, you
19 probably will want to choose eFiling quick comments as
20 another alternatives, that's just for shorter, brief
21 comments. The reason is there's a character limit in using
22 that location. eSubscription is, I think, a good tool if
23 someone is interested in getting updates on the project.
24 You can subscribe and submit your email, and then any time

25 anything new is filed to the project record, you'll get an

1 email notification, so that way you don't have to keep
2 periodically going back into the pile and checking to see,
3 you know, if something new has been filed; you'll just
4 automatically get that notice. But if you do want to just,
5 if you don't want to subscribe and you just want to look at
6 the project file you should always search eLibrary and you
7 would use that Docket No. P-2808. If you need help or
8 assistance with any of these online resources we have an
9 email address here and a telephone number which is always
10 available on the website as well.

11 And that's pretty much all I had so I will open
12 it up now for any additional comments or questions,
concerns
13 that people have, additional information that you want to
14 let us know about.

15 Doesn't seem like we have anything so that's it.
16 The information is there. Please take home a scoping
17 document and submit your comments if you're interested, and
18 I guess with that, that is the end of our meeting this
19 morning. Thank you all for coming and participating.

20 [Whereupon at 9:25 a.m., the scoping meeting
21 concluded.]

1 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

2

3 This is to certify that the attached proceeding
4 before the FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION in the
5 Matter of:

6 Name of Proceeding: Barker's Mill Hydroelectric
7 Project

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 Docket No.: 2808-017

18 Place: Auburn, Maine

19 Date: Tuesday, August 29, 2017

20 were held as herein appears, and that this is the original
21 transcript thereof for the file of the Federal Energy
22 Regulatory Commission, and is a full correct transcription
23 of the proceedings.

24 Daniel Hawkins

25 Official Reporter

Document Content(s)

083017ScopingMeeting.DOCX.....	1-13
sign-in sheet 8-30-17.PDF.....	14-14
083017ScopingMeeting.TXT.....	15-40